# SEC42

Page 1 THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION In the Matter of: ) KIK INTERACTIVE, INC. ) File No. HO-13388-A WITNESS: Michael Hourigan 1 through 66 PAGES: PLACE: Securities and Exchange Commission 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800 San Francisco, California 94104 Tuesday, July 24, 2018 DATE: The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:47 a.m.

Diversified Reporting Services, Inc. (202) 467-9200

	Page 2	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1 PROCEEDINGS
2		2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins DVD one in the
3	On behalf of the Securities and Exchange Commission:	3 testimony of Michael Hourigan in the investigation by
4	BRENT MITCHELL, ESQ.	4 the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in the
5	STEPHAN SCHLEGELMILCH, ESQ.	5 matter of Kik Interactive, File Number HO-13388-A.
6	JAMES MURTHA, ESQ.	6 Today's date is July 24th, 2018. The time on
7	(Via Videoconference)	7 the video monitor is 9:47 a.m.
8	Securities and Exchange Commission	8 The video operator today is Jefree Anderson,
9	Division of Enforcement	9 contracted by Behmke Reporting and Video Services,
10	100 F Street NE, Washington, D.C. 20549	10 subcontracted by Diversified Reporting Services,
11		11 Incorporated.
12	On behalf of the Witness:	This video investigation is taking place at 44
13	MICHAEL COHN, ESQ.	13 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800, San Francisco,
14	ALEX POPESCU, ESQ.	14 California.
15	1345 Avenue of the Americas, Floor 2	15 The court reporter today is Carol Worsdell,
16	New York, New York 10105	16 certified shorthand reporter, contracted by Diversified
17	(212) 798-6100	17 Reporting Services, Incorporated.
18		18 Counsel, please voice identify yourselves for
19	Also Present:	19 the record.
20	Jefree Anderson, Videographer	20 MR. COHN: My name is Michael Cohn. I am the
21	FernandoBernardo, Paralegal	21 chief compliance officer and deputy general counsel at
22	Kara Krakowar	22 Fortress, Mr. Hourigan's employer.
23	Tyler Martin	23 MR. POPESCU: I am Alex
24	<b>,</b>	24 MR MITCHELL: Why don't you both why don't
25		25 you both
		23 you bout
	Page 3	Page 5
1	CONTENTS	1 MR. COHN: Go ahead.
2		2 MR. POPESCU: I am Alex Popescu. I work at
3	WITNESS EXAMINATION	3 Fortress Investment Group as well. I am Michael
4	Michael Hourigan 6	4 Hourigan's employer. My title is counsel and director
5		5 of compliance for Fortress's credit business.
6		6 MR MITCHELL: Great. And we are doing this by
7	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED	7 video conference in Washington, D.C. I am Brent
8	1 Formal Order 7	8 Mitchell. I am an attorney in the enforcement division
9	72 Subpoena 63	9 of the SEC.
10	73 E-mail chain, 28	10 I will have my colleagues introduce ourselves,
11	SEC-PBDigital-E-0000348 to 351	but the three of us are all officers of the Commission
12	74 E-mail, SEC-PBDigital-E-00086 34	12 for purposes of this investigation.
13	through 95	13 MR. MURTHA: James Murtha, Division of
14	75 E-mail chain, 46	14 Enforcement.
15	SEC-PBDigital-E-0000429 to 430	15 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Stephan Schlegelmilch. 1
16	76 Executed SAFT contract 55	am in the trial unit in the division of enforcement.
17		17 MR MITCHELL: And then we also have two
18		18 interns who are here, Kara Krakowar, K-R-A-K-O-W-A-R.
19		19 and Tyler Martin.
20		20 Jefree, do you have more anything more to
21		21 your opening?
22		22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I have no more to my
23		23 opening, and there's nothing here that asks for the
24		24 deponent to be sworn in, correct?
1		25 MR MITCHELL: Right. I can do that here.
25		25 WIN WITCHELL. Right. I can do that here.

	Page 6	Page 8
1	Yeah, I can I can do that.	1 Q All right. We have already identified your
2	Mr. Hourigan, could you if you could raise	2 lawyers, and, sorry, the other person
3	your right arm, do you swear or affirm to tell the	3 MR. MITCHELL: Fernando, you are still in San
4	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?	4 Francisco, right?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	5 MR. BERNARDO: Yes.
6	Whereupon,	6 MR MITCHELL: Great. So Fernando Bernardo,
7	MICHAEL HOURIGAN,	7 also a staff member in the SEC enforcement division, is
8	was called as a witness and, having been first duly	8 actually in the room in San Francisco. All right. Any
9	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	9 other preliminaries?
10	EXAMINATION	10 BY MR. MITCHELL:
11	BY MR MITCHELL:	11 Q All right. So, again, we really do
12	Q Can you please state and spell your full name	12 appreciate your coming and talking to us. We are going
13	for the record.	to try to move this along expeditiously, but what we
14	A Michael Hourigan, M-I-C-H-A-E-L.	would like to do is sort of start off with your
15	H-O-U-R-I-G-A-N.	background. If you could just sort of give us your sort
16	MR. MURTHA: Mr. Hourigan, you can put your	of resume level background since high school to walk
17	arm down. Thank you.	through your education and the jobs that sort of led you
18	THE WITNESS: Just making sure.	18 to where you are now.
19	BY MR MITCHELL:	19 A Sure. I grew up in a small town near
20	Q Yeah, sorry. Sorry.	20 Scranton, Pennsylvania. I went to college at the
21	This is an investigation of the United States	21 University of Notre Dame. After that, I worked in D.C.
22	Securities and Exchange Commission in the matter of Kik	22 for a year for Hillary Clinton when she was senator from
23	Interactive to determine whether there have been	New York. I then went to Harvard Law School. I worked
24	violations of certain provisions of the federal	at Morgan, Stanley doing investment banking in New York
25	securities laws. However, the facts developed in this	25 for about three years after that, and then I moved out
	Page 7	Page 9
1		
1 2	investigation might constitute violations of other	1 to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund
2	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I
2	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws. Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have
2 3 4	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws. Prior to the opening of the record, Mr. Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.
2 3 4 5	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented.	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?
2 3 4	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented.  It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.
2 3 4 5 6 7	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented.  It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund? A Thompson P. Capital. Q And does it I can't track every hedge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.  Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist?
2 3 4 5 6 7	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.  Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist?  A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund? A Thompson P. Capital. Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist? A No. Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.  Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist?  A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.  Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist?  A No.  Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.  Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist?  A No.  Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress?  A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund? A Thompson P. Capital. Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist? A No. Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress? A Yes.  Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund? A Thompson P. Capital. Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist? A No. Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress? A Yes.  Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)  BY MR. MITCHELL:	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund? A Thompson P. Capital. Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist? A No. Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress? A Yes.  Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)  BY MR. MITCHELL:  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund? A Thompson P. Capital. Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist? A No. Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress? A Yes. Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when you when you got there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)  BY MR. MITCHELL:  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were also provided with a copy of the Commission's	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.  Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist?  A No.  Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress?  A Yes.  Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when you when you got there?  A I was working in the family office of one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)  BY MR. MITCHELL:  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were also provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information form, sometimes called	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.  Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist?  A No.  Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress?  A Yes.  Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when you when you got there?  A I was working in the family office of one of the founders, Peter Briger, helping to manage all of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr.  Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)  BY MR. MITCHELL:  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were also provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information form, sometimes called Form 1662. A copy of that notice should be in front of	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund? A Thompson P. Capital. Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist? A No. Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress? A Yes.  Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when you when you got there? A I was working in the family office of one of the founders, Peter Briger, helping to manage all of his personal investments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr. Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)  BY MR. MITCHELL:  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were also provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information form, sometimes called Form 1662. A copy of that notice should be in front of you marked as Exhibit 1. Have you had an opportunity to	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund? A Thompson P. Capital. Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist? A No. Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress? A Yes. Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when you when you got there? A I was working in the family office of one of the founders, Peter Briger, helping to manage all of his personal investments. Q And is that still the job that you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr. Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)  BY MR. MITCHELL:  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were also provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information form, sometimes called Form 1662. A copy of that notice should be in front of you marked as Exhibit 1. Have you had an opportunity to read Exhibit 1?	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.  Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist?  A No.  Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress?  A Yes.  Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when you when you got there?  A I was working in the family office of one of the founders, Peter Briger, helping to manage all of his personal investments.  Q And is that still the job that you have today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr. Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)  BY MR. MITCHELL:  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were also provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information form, sometimes called Form 1662. A copy of that notice should be in front of you marked as Exhibit 1. Have you had an opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A Yes.	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund?  A Thompson P. Capital.  Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist?  A No.  Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress?  A Yes.  Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when you when you got there?  A I was working in the family office of one of the founders, Peter Briger, helping to manage all of his personal investments.  Q And is that still the job that you have today?  A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	investigation might constitute violations of other federal or state, civil or criminal laws.  Prior to the opening of the record, Mr. Hourigan, you were provided with a copy of the formal Order of Investigation in this matter as supplemented. It's the two documents up there that say Formal Order and Supplemental Formal Order. Those will be available for your examination during the course of the proceedings.  Have you had an opportunity to review the Formal Order?  A Yes.  (SEC Exhibit No. 1 was identified for the record.)  BY MR. MITCHELL:  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were also provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information form, sometimes called Form 1662. A copy of that notice should be in front of you marked as Exhibit 1. Have you had an opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A Yes.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this	to the Bay Area to become the COO of a tech hedge fund in 2010. I did that for about five years, and then I joined Fortress Investment Group in 2015 where I have been for the last three years.  Q What was the name of the tech hedge fund? A Thompson P. Capital. Q And does it I can't track every hedge fund. Are they do they still exist? A No. Q Okay. So did you close down the fund when you at roughly the same time you moved over to Fortress? A Yes. Q When you got to Fortress, what was your job when you got what was your role at Fortress when you when you got there? A I was working in the family office of one of the founders, Peter Briger, helping to manage all of his personal investments. Q And is that still the job that you have today? A Yes. Q So you sorry, is the family office part of

Page 10 Page 12 1 MR. COHN: May I interrupt with just one 1 assets and cryptocurrency investments, so I know him, 2 thing? As a technical matter --2 you know, in that context. 3 3 MR MITCHELL: Oh, yeah, sure. Q So he has been at Pantera for the full time 4 4 MR. COHN: Yeah, sorry. This is Michael Cohn. you have been at Fortress. 5 As a technical matter, there is no such thing -- we use 5 A Yes, and even more so, yep. 6 the term "family office" -- sorry, I think we have 6 Q And then there's another person named Drew 7 7 discussed this previously. We use the term "family McKnight. Do you know Drew McKnight? 8 office" to mean the group of human beings who are 8 A Yes. He is one of the senior, you know, 9 9 employees of Fortress who help Pete Briger or, in other members of Pete's credit fund team and is I think on the 10 10 cases that are so-called family offices within management committee of the firm as well, so he's -- you 11 Fortress -- help them manage their personal investments, 11 know, a senior partner level guy at Fortress. 12 but there is no separate entity or organization that is 12 Q So earlier you talked about crypto assets or 13 literally the family office. I could become part of 13 sort of where you are specialized today. I just want to 14 Pete's family office simply by someone telling me: You 14 sort of make sure that you and I are using the same kind 15 are now part of Pete's family office, and I wouldn't 15 of terms. We are going to talk today about the Kin 16 change employers or anything like that. So it's more of 16 token that Kik Interactive sold or bitcoin or ether or 17 17 a function than it is an actual literal family office. things like that. When you talk about those kinds of 18 18 MR MITCHELL: Sounds great. things, what do you sort of -- in your daily business, 19 BY MR. MITCHELL: 19 is there a term you use for those kinds of things? 20 Q So building right from there, what's the 20 A I would refer to them as digital assets, but 21 21 function that you do? Sort of describe what your -cryptocurrency is just fine, too. 22 what your role is working for Fortress. 22 Q Digital assets. 23 A So my role for the first couple of years was 23 A Either one is fine. 24 to help manage all of the personal investments that Pete 24 Q Sounds great. So what's your experience with 25 Briger has which range from, you know, investments in 25 digital assets? Page 11 Page 13 1 Fortress funds, investments in external -- externally 1 A So, you know, I personally invested in 2 managed funds, as well as direct investments in startup 2 bitcoin, you know, a few years ago, and so I had some 3 companies. For the last year or so, I have been 3 interest in the area, and then when I joined in my 4 4 primarily focused on the cryptocurrency markets and the current role, Pete had a substantial amount of bitcoin 5 investments that we make and that we have made in that 5 in the portfolio and also had some other investments 6 space. So that's been my primary focus for the last 6 related to that space, so I had a great opportunity to 7 7 learn a lot about, you know, that new asset class in the vear or so. Q And who do -- who do you work for? 8 8 last couple of years, and then as these new, you know, 9 A I work directly for Pete. 9 forms of digital assets and companies related to them Q Who do you report to? 10 10 emerged last year, I took a great interest in that and, 11 A To Pete. 11 you know, have developed a little bit of a -- of a 12 Q And I'm sorry, and Pete Briger is the founder 12 specialty in it along the way, although it's a very 13 of Fortress that you were discussing before. 13 nascent market so, you know, I think everyone is just 14 A One of them, yes. 14 kind of learning as they go. 15 Q We are going to talk about some other folks 15 Q When you say that -- I want to sort of try to 16 during the -- during our conversation today. One of 16 focus you on the time when you were considering whether 17 them is named Dan Morehead. Do you know Dan Morehead? 17 to purchase Kin tokens say in the early summer of last year. At that point of -- in terms of the portfolio you 18 A Yes. 18 19 Q So who is Dan Morehead? 19 are managing, how much of that was in digital assets? 20 A Dan Morehead I believe has been friends with 20 A As a percentage of the overall portfolio of Pete since college, and he was previously I think the 21 21 Pete or --22 CFO of Tiger Management which is a huge, you know, 22 Q Percentage -- yeah, well, both actually. Do 23 global macro hedge fund. He founded a firm called 23 you remember what -- how much sort of value you had in 24 Pantera Capital like, I don't know, maybe five years ago 24 digital assets roughly at that time, by that time? 25 25 or so which has focused primarily on, you know, digital A You know, the market is so volatile, I don't

Page 14 Page 16 1 recall the percentage. It changes quite a bit on a 1 tokens? 2 daily basis so I don't really know. 2 A I think along the way it made investments in 3 3 Q What about amount? Did you have millions of other digital assets as well, but I don't recall if that 4 dollars or hundreds of thousands of dollars or --4 was the case or not. I think it may have, though. 5 A Millions. 5 Q And does the office have other legal entities 6 Q So the office had millions of dollars in 6 through which it invests other than PB Digital? 7 7 digital assets at that point. And was it sort of in a A Yes, many. That's why I'm not totally sure 8 8 range? Was it more or less -- do you remember whether on that, but yes. 9 it was more or less than ten percent of the whole 9 Q And I apologize. I always forget. LLC's 10 10 portfolio? have members, is that right? 11 A Last summer, it would have been less. 11 Yes. 12 12 LLC's have members. Q And was there a -- was there sort of a reason Q 13 why the office was investing in these assets? What 13 Right. 14 were -- was there an investment thesis or a reason to 14 Q And the members of -- sorry. Is Pete Briger 15 invest in these? 15 a member of PB Digital? A Yes. 16 A Yeah. We view it as being on the cutting 16 17 edge of the intersection of finance and technology, so 17 And then there are other members as well --0 18 being a huge global financial firm and then also being 18 Correct. 19 here in -- you know, in Silicon Valley in the Bay Area 19 -- who are investing alongside of him? 20 where, you know, it's kind of the center of tech, we saw 20 21 that convergence, and, you know, we felt like, you know, 21 Q And some of those work at Fortress and some 22 we could -- we could develop a pretty good expertise in 22 don't? 23 23 A Most do, but yeah, I think there were a 24 Q The investments that you had in digital 24 couple who don't, uh-huh. 25 assets, did you have a horizon for them? Were they --25 Q Okay. At this -- again, trying to focus you Page 15 Page 17 1 1 you know, generally did you have a feeling for how long on early summer of 2017, the time you are considering 2 2 you wanted to hold things or what -- how you decide the Kin token investment, how were you learning about 3 what -- when to sell them? 3 4 4 A Well, there's not any one great resource out A Yeah. I mean we view it as a longer term 5 5 trend, so I think the intention with any of these is to there to learn, so it's a combination of, you know, 6 hold them for longer duration but, you know, the market 6 websites, Twitter, ReadIt, blogs, talking to people, 7 7 is very volatile and, you know, it's risky, so when you conferences, so a variety of informational inputs. 8 8 have opportunities to, you know, recover your cost basis Q And do you have friends or professional 9 9 or take profits, you have to be opportunistic about it. colleagues who talk to you about digital assets as well? 10 So I'd say a longer term view in general but flexible. 10 A Yes. 11 11 Q We are going to talk at some point about a Q And when you are considering making possible 12 digital -- I'm sorry, a corporate entity called PB 12 investments or when you are considering any possible 13 Digital. Do you know PB Digital? 13 investments for the office, what do -- what do you do? 14 A Yes. 14 How do you analyze possible investments in digital -- or 15 15 Q What is that? at that time, how were you going about analyzing 16 A That was an LLC that was formed to allow Pete 16 possible purchases of digital assets? 17 and some other friends of his at the firm and a couple 17 A We'll generally start with the materials 18 18 outside the firm to invest in this deal, you know, provided by the team. So whether that's a whitepaper or 19 alongside of him. 19 a marketing presentation, you know, read about the 20 Q So was -- PB Digital was created for the 20 backgrounds of the founders and the market or 21 21 Kik -- for the investment in Kin tokens? application that they are trying to target and then, you 22 2.2 know, sort of see who else is familiar with that space A Well, I wouldn't say specifically or only for 23 that purpose, but it was -- it was a vehicle -- it was 23 or with that team and start to collaborate and form a 24 the vehicle that invested in the deal ultimately. 24 view 25 25 Q Does that vehicle own things other than Kin Q What kind of factors made offerings

Page 18 Page 20 1 attractive back then? 1 token, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 A Just in general or --2 3 Q Yeah. 3 BY MR MITCHELL: 4 Q I will just close that out. At some point 4 A I think, you know, essentially creating a 5 digital asset is, as its core, a technological 5 after you received a set of Kin token in 2017, you sold 6 undertaking, so I think having a strong technical team 6 those token on that ether delta platform? 7 7 is a critical part of it, and then focusing on a market A That's right. 8 8 where, you know, a digital asset would seem to have some Q And we will come back to that. 9 real application, at least potential, is another 9 So tell me, what was the market for digital 10 10 important factor. assets like at this point sort of end of the spring, 11 Q All right. What about, were there -- were 11 early summer? 12 12 MR. COHN: Hey, Brent, just to interrupt, just there factors that sort of when you saw them in 13 13 to be clear, Mr. Hourigan didn't sell all the tokens. offerings that made them not attractive, things that 14 14 sort of raised red flags or made you less inclined to There was a question earlier about whether they 15 invest? 15 liquidated the Kin position, and there was a -- I just 16 want to make sure in your question that you understand 16 A Yeah. I think when it -- when it looks more 17 like a team is trying to create some type of blotching 17 they sold part of it, but not all of it. 18 element to their business model just for the sake of, 18 BY MR MITCHELL: 19 19 you know, trying to raise money this way or try to Q Great. Let me just clean that up. 20 present themselves within that context, that's kind of a 20 Mr. Hourigan, well, we are going to talk more 21 21 red flag; and also not having a strong, you know, later, in more detail, but just at the high level, at 22 technical team or not targeting a market where this 22 some point, PB Digital made an investment; is that 2.3 seems like it would be suitable would be another red 23 right? 24 24 25 25 Q What do you mean by "technical team"? Q Made an investment with Kik Interactive? Page 19 Page 21 1 1 A You know, like talented engineers A Yes. essentially, you know, software engineers, blockchain 2 Q And as part of that -- as a result of that 2 3 engineers, you know, that having the right type of 3 investment, did -- PB Digital got some Kin tokens in 4 4 2017? personnel trying to build the product is very important. 5 5 Q Did you weigh whether the token was an ERC20 A Yes. 6 token? Did the type of token weigh in your decision? 6 Q Is that right? 7 7 A Um-hmm. A A little bit. It's helpful. Ethereum, you 8 Q And am I right that it will also receive some 8 know, blockchains and ERC20 tokens on it are by far the additional tokens in 2018? 9 9 leader in the market in terms of, you know, having some 10 kind of user base or network effect. So it makes it 10 A Yes. 11 Q So leaving aside the tokens you have not yet 11 easier to put it into that category of being an ERC20 12 12 token rather than something different. received, do you currently own any of the Kin tokens you 13 13 Q Do ERC20 tokens have -- does the fact the received in 2017? 14 token is an ERC20 token have any -- did it have any 14 A No. 15 15 affect on liquidity? Q Okay. And you sold those -- did you sell 16 A Well, ultimately it did because the exchange 16 those tokens on the ether delta platform? 17 that I mentioned ether delta, which is a decentralized 17 A Yes 18 18 like peer-to-peer trading platform, that runs on the Q Okay. And we will come back --19 Ethereum blockchain as well, so if it had not been an 19 MR. COHN: Thank you. 20 ERC20 token, it would not have been possible to trade it 20 BY MR. MITCHELL: 21 on there, so that was not the intention or something 21 Q All right. So what was the market for 22 22 that was even contemplated, but it turned out to be the digital assets like, you know, sort of late spring or 23 23 early summer 2017? What were -- what were you seeing at 24 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: And the token that you are 24 25 25 talking about there, you are talking about the Kin A The market I would say was brand new and, you

Page 22 Page 24 know, kind of wild and, you know, emerging, I would say 1 when you were trying to determine if something had --2 would be the words I would use to describe it. 2 some digital asset had the kind of profile that looked 3 3 Q Did you see -- before Kik, were you aware of attractive to you? 4 4 any projects where someone sold tokens and then the A Well, I think if -- if the targeted, you 5 5 know, user base sort of fits the demographic of, you tokens went up in value? 6 A Yes. There was a lot of media attention 6 know, what I'm describing where it tends to be younger, 7 7 it tends to be, you know, digital product or service, around those things happening, so that was, you know, 8 8 one thing that caused a lot of people to get focused on you know, sort of native digital application, and if the 9 9 creation or transfer of value within that digital world, it as you saw these big outcomes in the market. 10 10 you know, makes sense, which a lot of times it doesn't. Q Okay. So at that point, say, again end of 11 spring or early summer 2017, did the folks connected to 11 In this case I thought it did, but --12 12 Q Okay. And we will come to Kik. PB Digital understand that they could make money by 13 Yeah, go ahead. 13 buying digital assets? 14 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: During this same time 14 A I think so, yes. 15 period, spring, summer 2017, other than Kin -- and I 15 Q And what about sort of more in general with 16 don't need an exhaustive list -- but other than Kin, 16 other people you knew who were watching the market? Did 17 were there other digital assets that you were investing 17 you talk -- did your -- sorry, did your compatriots who 18 in? 18 were watching the market or who you talked to, did they 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. We did -- we did a few of 19 appear to understand that people could make money by 20 them. 20 buying digital assets? 21 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Can you -- can you tell us 21 A Yes 22 what they are or what they were? And again, I don't 22 Q And was there risk in buying digital assets? 23 need an exhaustive list. I'm just sort of looking for 23 A Absolutely. 24 sort of the species of digital assets that were 2.4 Q And so what kind of risk did you see? 25 interesting to you or worthwhile in investing in. 25 A There was risk in, you know, the execution of Page 23 Page 25 1 the -- you know, just the development of the business 1 THE WITNESS: And what timeframe are we 2 2 plan or the, you know, creation of the digital asset. talking about here, roughly? 3 There was risk in, you know, liquidity, whether it would 3 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Spring, summer 2017. 4 4 ever come or not. There was risk in, you know, the THE WITNESS: There was another one that we 5 5 product or service being created, you know, ever getting invested in out of the UK which is called FunFair which 6 any real traction or validity in the market. So, yeah, 6 is a blockchain-based online gaming or casino platform. 7 there's -- there's risk all around. 7 I'm trying to think what other ones we did in the 8 8 summer. That was pretty much it. I think I just recall Q And did you have an investment thesis about 9 9 those two. digital assets at this time period? Did you have a 10 10 thesis about what was going to happen or what was good MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: What about any investment 11 11 to invest in? What was your overall thesis about the prior to Kin? So Kin was in September 2017? 12 12 digital asset market? MR MITCHELL: No, they invested in June. 13 13 A I mean it was early in its development at the MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So prior to Kin in 14 14 June of 2017, it was maybe just FunFair. time in terms of thesis, but I think the thesis 15 15 essentially is that, you know, we are all sort of THE WITNESS: I would say that was roughly 16 16 increasingly living in a digital world in terms of how concurrent with this, but yeah, they were around the 17 we spend our time and attention. The younger someone 17 same, those two. 18 18 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: And did you have a holding is, the more pronounced that effect is and, you know, 19 19 having assets that exist in a purely digital form could in Ether or Litecoin --20 have real value, even though they don't have any 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 representation in the physical world; and so looking for 21 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: -- or Bitcoin at this 22 22 the type of digital assets that could have value within point? 23 that framework is sort of one of the things that we look 23 THE WITNESS: Bitcoin we had a large holding 24 to in terms of informing our investment thesis. 24 for years. Ether, we bought in 2017 as well. So yes, 25 25 Q And how did you -- what were you weighing we had an Ether holding.

Page 26 Page 28 BY MR MITCHELL: 1 1 our meeting I don't recall who I spoke with or even that 2 Q What about Litecoin or any others like that? 2 much about those conversations. It was just sort of 3 3 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Doge. leading up to that meeting. 4 THE WITNESS: We didn't have holdings in those 4 Q Okay. Did they also send you any documents? 5 but, you know, we certainly looked at them closely and 5 A I don't recall if they were sent before or 6 were aware of them. 6 after the initial meeting. 7 BY MR MITCHELL: 7 Q Okay. Do you remember what day the initial 8 Q Okay. So at some point, you heard about a 8 meeting was? 9 company called Kik Interactive? 9 A I don't. 10 A Yes. 10 Q Okay. All right. Well, I'm going to have --11 Q How? 11 I'm going to have Fernando mark as what I think would be 12 A We were introduced to the company by Dan 12 Exhibit 73, a document, and give you a copy of it. I 13 Morehead. 13 apologize. I had him just make one copy of the 14 Q What did -- what did you hear from Mr. 14 documents so if you could look at it and sort of show it 15 Morehead? 15 to your lawyers, too. You guys can take the time. 16 A He said that, you know, this was a 16 That's SEC-PBDigital-E-0000348 to 351. So if the court 17 well-funded venture-backed startup that had been around 17 reporter could mark that, and then you can look at it 18 for, you know, quite a few years and had a large user 18 and show it to your lawyer as well. On its face, it 19 base of mostly younger people, but that it was having a 19 appears to be an e-mail chain that starts on June 1st 20 tough time competing against, you know, the Facebook's 20 and goes to June the 2nd, 2017. 21 of the world, and that they had this plan to incentivize 21 (SEC Exhibit No. 73 was marked 22 users and, you know, sort of stimulate their activity on 22 for identification.) 23 the network by issuing these new digital tokens which 23 BY MR MITCHELL: 24 would compensate them for their contributions to the 2.4 Q Great. If you guys want to look at that, my 25 platform. 25 first question to you, Mr. Hourigan, is going to be: Do Page 27 Page 29 1 1 Q All right. So what did you guys -- once you you recognize this document? 2 heard about -- once you heard from Mr. Morehead, what 2 A Let me just take one minute here. Sorry. 3 did you guys do? I'm sorry, what did the folks you work 3 Q Yeah. No, absolutely. I apologize. I 4 with do? 4 didn't think through how many copies to make. 5 5 A We said that we would like to learn more A Okay. I'm looking at this now. 6 about it, and so he put us in touch with them, and then 6 Q Sounds great. So my first question is: Do 7 we had a series of, you know, phone calls and a meeting 7 you recognize the document? 8 8 to learn more about it. A Yes. 9 Q Okay. So let's just sort of walk through 9 Q Okay. Is this an e-mail that Pete Briger 10 those. Tell me what you remember. How many phone calls 10 sent a bunch of people at Fortress, including you? 11 are you thinking about? Eventually there was a meeting; 11 12 12 is that right? Q And was he forwarding an e-mail from Dan 13 A Eventually there was a meeting. Yeah, the 13 Morehead with the term sheet for a potential investment 14 phone calls before the meeting I think were, you know, 14 in investment with tokens from Kik Interactive? 15 15 maybe just a couple. It was sort of we wanted to meet A Yes. 16 them in person and see -- hear the -- hear the plan from 16 Q Sitting here today, is this -- do you 17 them and make an assessment from there, so.... 17 remember, is this the first time you have seen a term Q So in the first -- in the phone calls before 18 18 sheet, if you remember? 19 the meeting, were you on the phone? 19 A Probably, yes. I don't recall. It's 20 20 possible that I saw it beforehand, but that -- that 21 21 Q Who -- who else was on the phone? would make sense, yeah. 22 22 A You know, I don't recall. I had a lot of Q And, again, what did people in your office 23 contact after our initial meeting with Tanner Philp. He 23 think of this idea once you got the term sheet? 24 was kind of my primary point of contact there, and I had 24 A They thought that it was interesting. I mean 25 25 a little bit with Ted Livingston, the CEO, but before it -- we have a lot of respect for Dan and his firm and

2.2

Page 30

- his expertise in the space and, you know, we were aware of these ICO's or token sales that were taking place in
- 3 the market, and so, you know, I think the initial

2.0

2.4

reaction was that this looked interesting and we wanted
 to learn more about it.

### Q What were you aware of with other ICO's that made this seem attractive?

A Well, there was just a lot of media attention around the ICO phenomenon, and that this was a new way for companies — you know, tech companies to, you know, issue these digital assets on the blockchain and a way to participate in that and so, you know, we were curious to learn more about it through this — through this opportunity.

#### Q And what did -- I guess what did you do to consider the opportunity?

A I don't remember how much time elapsed, but at some point relatively soon after this introduction, we met with the team from Kik to learn more about it.

Q Okay. I will comment on the meeting in a second. On this term sheet, though, this June document, can I have you turn to the page that ends -- the Bates number ends in 350?

A Um-hmm.

Q Do you see the page that ends in 350?

Page 32

A I don't think so. I read around about, you know, Kik and the history of it and the venture funding they got and that sort of thing, but I don't remember any other materials.

### Q What did you take away -- what did you take away from the whitepaper that seemed important?

A The way that they described that this digital asset would be used in the network among their large user base and how it would incentivize, you know, the people to contribute and participate more actively.

#### Q You mean the user base on the -- on Kik's messaging app?

A Right.

Q So one of the things that was important to you was that Kik said that it would allow people to use Kin tokens on its messaging app?

A Right.

Q Why?

A Because there was this sort of thesis of like all the social media that everyone spends so much time on. You know, it's free to use, but you are also contributing a lot of content, whether it's like your photos or what you are doing and different comments and likes and emojis and all of this kind of stuff, but you don't actually receive anything for your contributions.

Page 31

A Yes.

Q Okay. At the time that you got this term sheet, was Kik telling potential investors that they were aiming to do a -- sort of launch the Kin token by September of 2017?

A I don't remember that particular aspect or representation that you are referring to on the timing of it.

## Q Was there any discussion at this stage of when people thought that they would launch the Kin token?

A I remember in our meeting them describing the difficulties of building this, given the scale of their user base and the amount of activity they have on their network relative to the constraints of the Ethereum blockchain, but I don't recall a timeline or, you know, a lot of -- you know, any focus on that.

Q Before the meeting, did you review any -- any written documents? Any other written documents, I mean obviously other than the term sheet we just saw?

A I don't think so.

Q Did you read the whitepaper before the meeting?

A Yes.

Q Anything other than the whitepaper?

Page 33

1 It's sort of just free to use the platform, and so their 2 idea was that given that the users of the network are

3 the ones creating most of the value of the experience,

you could create these tokens which would reward them

5 for their contributions to the network.

## Q And you -- and you thought that would -- how did you think that would affect Kin tokens if you could use them in that way? What would happen -- what would be the effect on Kin tokens?

A We thought that if they could become the currency within that Kik ecosystem, that they -- that those tokens could become very valuable given how big their user base is and how much activity there is on it.

MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Did you have an expectation that you or Fortress would be participating -- would be a participant in an ecosystem?

THE WITNESS: We played around with Kik just to see how it would work or kind of -- it was not a product any of us had previously used so, you know, we needed to understand that a little bit better to kind of compare it to WhatsApp or Facebook or the other things in the market that we are more familiar with. So having never used a service before, I don't know that I intended to use the token, but I certainly was trying to understand how someone would.

Page 34 Page 36 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: I guess my question is a 1 1 O Did you write that section? 2 little bit different. So did you expect that you were 2 3 3 going to be -- you or Fortress was going to be creating Q And then the rest of it is the Blog post that 4 you were providing everybody a copy of? 4 content for this social network? 5 THE WITNESS: We weren't planning to, no. 5 A Correct. 6 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: And okay. 6 Q And did the part that you write fairly 7 BY MR MITCHELL: 7 describe the market as you understood it at the time? 8 Q Were you planning to buy content or anything 8 A Yes. 9 9 else with the Kin tokens? Q Looking back on it, is there anything that 10 A I mean they hadn't created anything yet, so 10 you look at there that you think boy, that was just 11 it's hard to say like no, we definitely wouldn't have, 11 wrong, like I got this wrong back then? 12 12 but it wasn't -- that wasn't our intention at the time. A Not really. 13 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Thank you. 13 Q Okay. So at the time you sent this e-mail, 14 BY MR MITCHELL: 14 you understood that ICO's were a new funding vehicle for 15 15 tech companies? Q Fernando, could you mark a document that ends 16 in 86. This again, I will just say for the record, is a 16 A Um-hmm. 17 multipage document with the Bates number 17 Q I apologize. The court reporter needs to 18 SEC-PBDigital-E-0000086 through 95, and on its face, the 18 sort of hear people say words, so you can just answer 19 first page has an e-mail that appears to be from you on 19 yes or no for us. A Yes. June 2nd, 2017. So if the court reporter can just mark 20 20 21 it and then give it to you, and you and your lawyers can 21 Q Okay. So at the time you sent the e-mail, 22 22 look through it, and tell me when you are ready. you understood that ICO's were a new funding vehicle for 23 My first question is going to be: Do you 23 tech companies? 24 24 A Yes. recognize this document? 25 25 (SEC Exhibit No. 74 was marked Q And then in your e-mail, you described a VC Page 35 Page 37 for identification.) back company called Brave that had done an ICO? 1 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, I recognize this. 2 A Yes. 3 BY MR. MITCHELL: 3 Q Is that right? 4 4 Q Okay. What is this? A Um-hmm. 5 5 A This is --Q And then you note -- you noted the 6 MR. COHN: What's Exhibit 74? 6 appreciation of the tokens after the initial offering? 7 THE WITNESS: This is an e-mail that I sent 7 A Yes. 8 out to the same group that received the previous 8 Q And why did -- why mention that? 9 9 A So that ICO was probably the highest profile communication that we have just discussed where I was 10 trying to explain, you know, a little bit more about 10 one in the market up to that point. The founder of that what ICO's are, and then I posted a Blog that had been company is a very well known technologist, and I saw 11 11 recently published that was getting a lot of attention 12 12 some similarities to Kik in terms of Brave had already 13 in the -- in the media. raised venture money. It was smaller, but they had 13 14 BY MR MITCHELL: 14 raised venture money. They had a user base. They had 15 Q And did you send this e-mail as part of 15 a, you know, talented technology team, but they were 16 the -- the discussion about weighing the Kin token 16 issuing these tokens as a new way to fund the growth of 17 offering? 17 their -- of their business. 18 18 Q And their token had appreciated in value 19 19 Q And is the part on the first page in the after their offering. 20 A It did, and that was what really got, you 20 first maybe four or five paragraphs that are sort of know, a lot of media attention was that rise in value 21 right underneath the to/from/send/subject line? 21 22 A Um-hmm. 22 after the -- well, actually how quick they raised the 23 23 money in the offering was, you know, really, you know, Q Do you see that? Do you see where it starts 24 last weekend and runs down through the bold thesis? 24 noteworthy, and then the appreciation afterwards was 25 A Yes. 25 another thing that was written about.

Page 38 Page 40 1 Q So at the time you sent this e-mail, you 1 Q Okay. And what was their -- what was the --2 understood that tokens could appreciate in value --2 sorry, did he have -- did he -- was it just oral or did 3 3 A Yes. he have written stuff to share with you as well? 4 A I think it was just oral. 4 Q -- after they were initially issued. Did you 5 need Kik to explain that to you? 5 Q Okay. No slides or, you know, or --6 6 A I don't remember that, no, huh-uh. A No. 7 Q Okay. So did he describe to you what Kik was 7 Q I'm going to put that down. 8 8 At some point did you receive a PPM, like a planning to do? 9 A Yeah, that they were going to create this 9 private placement memo? 10 token that could be used in their ecosystem to 10 A I don't recall. 11 Q Okay. The meeting -- well, I guess what 11 incentivize people to contribute -- to contribute or 12 create content or to engage with the content posted by 12 happened next? You had this June 2nd e-mail. Do you 13 others, and that it would be a way of rewarding users 13 remember whether the next thing was -- well, what do you 14 and kind of aiming to make the service, you know, better 14 remember? What happened next? Let me just start with 15 than free, where the other -- you know, the Facebooks 15 that. 16 and the other larger ones are free, but they felt like 16 A The next thing that I remember was that they 17 they could make this so that you could actually get paid came out and we met with them, the founders. 17 18 for your contributions which we thought was, you know, 18 Q Okay. So where did you meet with them? 19 pretty compelling. 19 A We met with them in our San Francisco office 20 Q So when you were just saying an ecosystem here at One Market. 20 21 there, was he describing a Kik app? 21 Q And who was there? 22 A Yeah, the Kik app and the way that they would 22 A I remember the CEO, Ted Livingston, Tanner 23 layer in this new, you know, token, you know, economy Philp who I mentioned before, and then from our group it 23 24 into it to incentivize people. 24 was Pete, me, Drew McKnight, Brian Nicholson who is 25 Q So he was -- he was describing a way that Kik 2.5 another one of our colleagues in the office. I don't Page 39 Page 41 1 1 remember if there was anyone else, but I remember those planned to incorporate Kin tokens or transactions with 2 2 Kin tokens into Kik's existing messaging app. guys. 3 Q Was there anybody on the phone? 3 A Right. 4 4 A I don't think so. Q Okay. Did he -- did anyone talk to you about 5 5 Q There's a -- there's a -- do you know a sort of their planned -- what their plan was in terms of 6 person named Akshane Najita? Nahita (phonetic)? 6 how they would -- how they would do the sale and what --7 7 when they would do the sale? 8 A I remember the figure of \$100 million, but I 8 Q Okay. Where does he work? 9 A He works at SoftBank. 9 don't remember the timing or the -- you know, the 10 Q Do you remember whether or not -- do you 10 structure of the process. 11 Q But in that meeting, they said that they were 11 remember whether or not he participated in this meeting? 12 12 A I just don't remember. looking to raise \$100 million? 13 Q All right. So what happened in the meeting? 13 14 A In the meeting, Kik, which was mainly Ted 14 Q And did they talk to you about a concept or a 15 doing the talking, described, you know, how the company 15 document called a SAFT, capital S-A-F-T? 16 started and the growth that they have had and, you know, 16 A It was mentioned. I don't remember 17 the challenges that they have had against the larger 17 discussing it much, but it was -- it was mentioned. 18 competitors in the space, especially Facebook and 18 Q Did -- was there a discussion about a -- like 19 WhatsApp and that they, you know, felt like they needed 19 how Pete Briger's group would -- how you would invest, 20 to do something new to compete with them more 20 like what the terms of the investment would be? 21 21 effectively. They had some previous interest in A Not in great detail. I mean we would be 2.2 22 blockchain technology both personally and business-wise, investing alongside everyone else with the same, you 23 and they saw this as a way to potentially, you know, 23 know, terms, and we would receive a portion of the 24 level the playing field and allow them to compete more 24 tokens whenever they were created, you know, pro rata 25 25 effectively against Facebook. based on our contribution amount. So it wasn't -- there

#### Page 42 Page 44 1 wasn't -- we talked mostly about the plan for the -- for 1 A He just said for the amount of users they 2 the company more so than the details of the deal. 2 have and the amount of activity they have on the 3 3 Q Was the idea that you discussed that you network, it would just cripple the Ethereum blockchain 4 4 to try to process all of that through it, and so they would invest money sort of at that point or at some 5 5 were trying to figure out a way to do like a smaller point in the summer and then receive tokens later in the 6 year? 6 beta test or find some type of technological work around 7 7 A Yes. that would allow them to, you know, use the tokens in 8 8 Q And was there discussion then about sort of the app and then have them sort of port over to the 9 when -- like how Kik was going to decide when it could 9 Ethereum blockchain somehow. They were -- they were 10 10 sell tokens to the general public? struggling with how to -- how to do that. 11 A There may have been a little bit of 11 Q And who did you -- did you have an 12 discussion about that, but it wasn't -- it was not a 12 understanding of who was going to sort of try to address 13 13 that problem? focus of ours 14 Q What do you remember about it? 14 A I think it was -- you know, Ted was the main 15 A I remember talking about the difficulty or 15 guy we were speaking with, so I mean it was -- it was the challenges of integrating this token into their 16 16 mostly him. 17 large user base, given the constraints of the Ethereum 17 Q And -- sorry, and people at Kik were going to 18 blockchain. In terms of a timeline for that, I don't --18 try to solve that problem. 19 19 I don't remember anything being sort of -- sort of 20 promised or even, you know, laid out. 20 Q Did they expect you or other investors to 21 21 Q Was that part of your investment sort of help solve that problem? 22 analysis, sort of the idea of when you would get tokens? 22 A Only in just maybe talking through it a 23 A It was, but I don't remember trying to like 23 little bit, but no, not substantively. 24 pin down exactly when -- when that would be. 24 Q Did they talk about what Kik would do after 25 Q Was there a discussion about whether the 25 the Kin tokens had been sold to the public? Page 43 Page 45 1 1 tokens, once they were issued by Kik to the public, A Kik would, you know, try to integrate them 2 2 into their app was the -- that was the main gist of it. would be liquid? 3 A No, I don't think we talked about that. 3 Q And also to solve this technological issue 4 4 Q Did you talk about secondary exchanges at about the Ethereum blockchain having limits? 5 all? 5 A Right, which was part of trying to integrate 6 A Subsequent to this initial meeting, I did 6 them into the app, you know, figuring out a way to do 7 have quite a few conversation with them about that, but 7 that or to roll that out. 8 not -- in the initial meeting, I don't -- I don't 8 Q Did you all raise any specific questions in 9 remember that being part of it. 9 the meeting? 10 Q Okay. Well, let me just move to that for a 10 A I think we -- you know, we wanted to 11 11 second. The conversations you are thinking of, are they understand, you know, how this new technology, you know, 12 12 after the meeting but before you made the investment? would potentially sort of reignite the user base of Kik 13 A No, they were after we made the investment 13 because Kik had raised a lot of money, and they had a 14 and after we received the tokens. My questions were 14 lot of users, but they also, you know, were not on a 15 15 kind of like: Are you going to get listed on an trajectory to be successful given the competition, and 16 exchange and when? And it was -- you know, we never 16 so we wanted to understand how this could potentially, 17 really got a clear answer on that, and as far as I know, 17 you know, reengage a lot of those users that weren't, 18 they still have never been listed on an exchange, so I 18 you know, using the platform much and maybe incentivize 19 guess maybe that's why. 19 some new people to sign up as well. 20 20 Q Well, why did that -- why did that weigh in Q Okay. So going back to the meeting, was 21 21 there -- sorry, was there discussion about sort of the your decision of whether or not to buy Kin tokens? 22 Ethereum blockchain and whether Kik would be able to run 2.2 A Well, because ultimately if they, you know, 23 the Kin project using the Ethereum blockchain? 23 are used in the Kin ecosystem, they presumably would be 24 Yes, there was. 24 more valuable than if they were not being used. So the 25 25 What was that? ability to integrate them into that was an important

#### Page 46 Page 48 1 consideration. 1 Yes. 2 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: And would it be fair to 2 -- sharing some of your thoughts? 3 3 say sort of in the same vein that if Kik went out of A Yes. 4 4 business let's say in October of 2017 or November of Q And did that -- did that, you know, 5 5 accurately capture your thinking at the time? 2017, that the Kin tokens would sort of lose all of 6 their value? 6 A Yes, it did. 7 7 THE WITNESS: It would be a big negative for Q And so the positive that you saw was that --8 8 sure. I don't know if they would lose all of their I'm sorry, was it a positive that you saw that Kik had a 9 9 real product/user base/VC investor base? value necessarily, but yeah, based on our investment 10 A That was a positive, yes. 10 thesis, that would have been a big negative. 11 BY MR MITCHELL: 11 Q Can you just say like why? Why was that a 12 12 positive? Q Fernando, can you mark the document that ends 13 A Well, because if they could create this 13 in 429 and, again, this is a multipage document with the 14 digital asset and then sort of integrate it into this 14 Bates number SEC-PBDigital-E-0000429 to 430 and then 15 user base that had, you know, I think hundreds of 15 take a look at it with your lawyer. Just tell me when 16 millions of users, that's an easier proposition than 16 you are ready. On its face, it appears to be an e-mail 17 trying to create a user base from scratch to use a given 17 chain where the top e-mail is an e-mail from you to Drew 18 product or service. So I felt like that was a good sort 18 McKnight and Pete Briger. 19 of foundation to try to integrate this new digital asset 19 (SEC Exhibit No. 75 was marked 20 20 for identification.) 21 Q And were there negatives that you were 21 MR MITCHELL: Sorry, is Michael still reading? 22 weighing? 22 MR. COHN: Yeah, I am almost done. Just one 23 A Well, the negative was that they had raised a 23 second. 24 lot of money, and like I said before, that when you 24 MR MITCHELL: Perfect. Sounds great. No 25 raise that much money and, you know, you're still sort 25 problem. Page 47 Page 49 MR. MURTHA: You are just off camera, so we 1 1 of burning through cash and not competing successfully 2 can't see what you are doing. 2 against the bigger incumbents, you know, the trajectory 3 MR. MITCHELL: No rush. Take the time you 3 on its own is not good, and so there was a solid 4 4 need. My first question is going to be -foundation there that was attractive, but also, they 5 5 MR. COHN: We can move the camera, but it's raised, you know, this large amount of money and sort of 6 really boring over here. 6 burned through it and so, you know, this ICO option was, 7 MR MITCHELL: Yeah, I know. 7 you know, a way to try to raise money that was better 8 8 MR. COHN: Okay. than the other alternatives they had at that time. 9 BY MR MITCHELL: 9 Q Did you view the ICO plan as essentially a 10 Q So, Mr. Hourigan, take a look at it. My 10 Hail Mary by Kik? 11 A That was certainly one way to look at it. 11 first question is going to be: Do you recognize this 12 12 document? Q And what did that mean to you? What were you 13 13 A Yes. seeing that made it a Hail Mary? 14 14 O What is it? A Well, I think at one time they had enough 15 15 A I'm just reading through the sequence here. user growth and sort of attraction among a younger 16 So this was -- you know, Dan informed us that the terms 16 demographic that they thought they could compete toe to 17 had changed a bit and that investors were getting cut 17 toe with Facebook, and with the amount of money they 18 back. One of the changes in the terms was that there 18 raised and burned through, and in talking with Ted and 19 would be a lockup, and so then that forced us to, you 19 the team, it was obvious that they were on the losing 20 know, think about things over that time horizon which I 20 end of that, and so I think they viewed it and we viewed 21 was trying to provide a view on, you know, kind of the 21 it as, you know, you have got -- I think -- I think it 2.2 pros and cons of Kik on a one- to two-year horizon. 22 was a couple hundred million users. You have got a 23 23 tech, you know, platform that works and a community that Q And so are the three paragraphs at the top of 2.4 the first page -- is that you writing an e-mail to Mr. 24 is engaged to some extent, and so, you know, you need to 25 25 McKnight and Mr. Briger -go deeper in the play book in terms of taking risk and

Page 50 Page 52 1 1 trying to do something creative rather than just keep MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: So again, so that Fortress 2 sort of keep banging up against Facebook, you know, 2 or you could be -- you could exit your position at a 3 3 which, you know, you are just not going to win that -profit. 4 4 THE WITNESS: Correct. that battle. 5 5 BY MR MITCHELL: Q When you were weighing purchasing -- making 6 this deal with Kik, did you have access to Kik's 6 Q Okay. So was there some point after the 7 7 meeting that you had in San Francisco when Kik offered 8 8 A No. you additional offers to raise sort of the amount of 9 9 money that your group could invest? Q Did you know how much cash Kik had on hand? 10 A Towards the end of the process you are 10 A We didn't. 11 O Did you know how much revenue it was earning? 11 referring? In other words, not this e-mail but the --12 12 Q Yeah. After that e-mail I meant. A I don't recall that being discussed. Someone A I'm sorry, the e-mail that we are looking at 13 13 may have asked, but that was not my focus, so I don't --14 now or are you saying subsequent to that? 14 I don't remember that. 15 15 Q Subsequent to that. I apologize. Q Were you aware of what the trends in users 16 A Subsequent to that I remember kind of at the 16 were on the Kik app, you know, whether it was overall 17 11th hour there was this sudden, you know, availability 17 the numbers of users or the metrics of users were going 18 of, you know, more to buy, which we found, you know, 18 up or going down? 19 somewhat concerning. 19 A My perception was that it was going down and 20 Q How did you hear about the something more? 20 that it was negative. 21 A I don't remember if it came directly from MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Having now had a chance to 21 22 Kik. 22 look at your e-mail again, is it fair to say that your 23 I think it might have come through Dan, but 23 sort of overall view of this was that Kik's prospects as 24 they -- I think the -- what they told us was that 24 a company weren't great long-term, but that because it 25 because of KYC issues with some investors in Russia and 25 had a real product, a user base and a VC investor base, Page 51 Page 53 that it should go up in the near term and that you could maybe China also. 1 1 2 exit your position at a profit? 2 But I seem to remember Russia, that 3 THE WITNESS: I would say that it should go up 3 they were sort of cutting out from the sale. There was 4 4 in the near term based on its potential to actually this extra amount available if we wanted to take it. 5 5 work. I mean despite the, you know, negatives which we Q And did they -- did you decide to take it? 6 just discussed in terms of their user base and kind of 6 A No. We decided not to. 7 how it was trending, they did have or I think they still 7 Q And then do you -- did you talk to -- did you 8 8 do have, you know, hundreds of millions of people on talk to anyone -- any of Kik's outside lawyers at a law 9 9 a -- on a chat platform and a lot of activity; and so firm called Cooley? 10 trying to, you know, create a digital asset to be used 10 A Yes. I spoke with at least one lawyer there. in that has a lot of potential compared to creating a 11 11 Q Do you remember that person's name? digital asset that has no user base to sort of be A I'm thinking it might have been Nancy, but I 12 12 don't -- if you said her name, I think I would recognize 13 integrated into. 13 14 14 it, but I don't -- I don't recall. So it was one part the signalling of this, you 15 know, VC funding they raised and the kind of foundation 15 Q There's a lawyer at Cooley named Nancy 16 they have, but the other part of it was that they 16 Woitas. 17 actually do have that user base and that technology 17 A That's her. MR. MITCHELL: Okay. W-O-J-T-A-S for the 18 already built out, that, you know, could be an 18 19 attractive thing to try to integrate this into. 19 court reporter. 20 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: You wrote that it should 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MITCHELL: 21 be fairly ease to flip. What did you mean by that? 21 THE WITNESS: That there would be a lot of 22 22 Q So tell us about that conver -- sorry, one 23 people that would want to buy these assets once they 23 conversation or multiple conversations? 24 were, you know, created and out there liquid in the 24 A We didn't talk much. I don't remember if it 25 market. 25 was like once or twice, but that was more I think just

Page 54 Page 56 1 administrative in terms of getting paperwork signed and 1 them to, and then just confirming the receipt and that 2 getting through their process. It wasn't much of a 2 it was the proper amount. 3 3 substantive conversation. Q Okay. 4 4 Q Did anybody at Kik talk to you about sort of And then you got the -- so at some 5 their legal analysis of the offering or their view of 5 point in 2017 you got tokens? 6 whether the Kin tokens were securities? 6 A Yes. 7 7 A I don't think that ever came up. Q And did you receive sort of half the tokens 8 8 Q Okay. that the SAFT made you eligible for? 9 9 A I think so, yes. So I guess I'm going to go with what 10 10 happened next? Q And what did you do with the tokens? 11 You have had these conversations. You 11 A We just held them in a -- you know, an 12 12 Ethereum-based wallet as we typically would do, so we had the meeting. 13 13 didn't do anything with them. We just held them in the You had some subsequent conversations. 14 What did your group do next in terms of the Kik 14 wallet that they were received into. 15 proposal? 15 Q And was this -- do you still have them in the 16 A We decided to make the investment and we 16 wallet? 17 proceeded in, you know, going through that process with 17 A Well, we sold -- I'm trying to -- I don't 18 them to get the docs signed and get the -- the funding 18 remember if we received all of them and that half of 19 processed, and that was pretty much the end of it from 19 them have some type of cryptographic lock on them for a 20 what I remember. 20 year or if we only received half of them to begin with, 21 21 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. but in either event, half of the them of the total that 22 Fernando, could you have the court 22 we purchased, we sold eventually. 23 reporter mark the document that doesn't have 23 Q And did -- and then did you -- did you sell 24 24 Bates numbers that I think is the executed SAFT that half for more than half of your initial investment? 25 25 contract? A Yes. Page 55 Page 57 1 (SEC Exhibit No. 76 was marked 1 Q Roughly, what -- what value did you get for 2 for identification.) 2 the Kin tokens that you sold compared to your 3 BY MR. MITCHELL: 3 investment? 4 4 A I think it was about 4X. Q This is a document that has seven pages and 5 5 numbered one through seven at the bottom. At the -- on Q 4X the full investment or 4X the half? 6 the second page it says Kin, a product of Kik 6 A I'm just not sure. 7 Interactive, Inc., SAFT, S-A-F-T, and then on the back 7 Sorry. I have the data, 8 8 but I just don't know offhand. pages -- well, why don't you have your lawyer look at it 9 9 and you look at it, and my first question is going to Q That would be fine. Sitting here today, 10 though, do you think that PB Digital has any basis left be: Do you recognize this document? 10 11 A Yes, I recognize this. 11 in this investment? 12 A No. Q What is it? 12 13 A This is the SAFT that the company provided 13 Q And do you expect to get more Kin tokens at 14 14 via Cooley and that we signed I think via, you know, some point later in 2018? 15 DocuSign or something like that. 15 A Yes. 16 Q If you look on the page that has a seven on 16 Do you have a plan for what you are going to 17 the bottom, is that Pete Briger's electronic signature? 17 do with those tokens? 18 18 A Electronic, yes. A We would assess, you know, their progress on 19 19 billing that's out or implementing it and see what the Q So then after you make the investment, I 20 guess sort of what happens -- what happened after that 20 demand looks like in the market, what the price looks 21 in terms of Kik? 21 like and make an assessment, but we haven't gotten close enough to it yet to start doing that, so it's hard to 22 A The next thing that I remember was receiving 22 23 the tokens once they were created and, you know, 23 24 distributed, had some back and forth with Tanner on, you 24 Q Whose efforts would you look at? Sorry. In 25 know, our, you know, wallet address that he was sending 25 your prior -- in your prior -- in the answer you just

Page 58 Page 60 1 gave you talked about "them." Who were you talking 1 you know, something that we focused on at all. 2 2 Q Was there any --3 A I'm just thinking of, you know, Ted and 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you move your 4 microphone up higher on your tie? 4 Tanner and the team at Kik. 5 5 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Q So you will gauge-- your plan is to, when you 6 get the tokens, see how they are doing on the creation 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 7 BY MR MITCHELL: 7 of this Kin ecosystem. 8 8 A Yes. Q Was there any discussion about something 9 called an MVP or a minimum viable product? 9 MR MITCHELL: Okay. 10 A I think they mentioned that as part of their 10 So we are going to go off 11 the record. 11 development timeline in terms of trying to roll this out 12 and start integrating it. 12 It is 11:03 I think in San Francisco. Do I 13 Like I think I mentioned 13 have the time right there? 14 earlier, some type of beta test or something like that. 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment. Going off the 15 So I remember that being mentioned, but I don't -- I 15 record, the time is 11:03. 16 don't remember the details of it. 16 (A recess was taken.) 17 Q What you are remembering, is that in person 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. 18 or is that on the phone? 18 The time is 11:08. 19 A In person and then subsequently on the phone 19 BY MR MITCHELL: 20 just in terms of, you know, how is everything going. 20 O Great. 21 Are you guys building it out? 21 Mr. Hourigan, during the break, did 22 You know, I remember them 22 you have any substantive conversations about the case 23 telling me they were working with -- reestimating with 23 with the SEC staff? 24 other blockchains other than Ethereum, like Stellar. 24 A No. 25 There was one in Israel called Orbs. They were spending 25 Q All right. Page 59 Page 61 1 1 time over there working with different engineers trying So I just want to sort of do a 2 couple of -- last couple of questions to make sure that 2 to figure out, you know, what's the best way to design 3 we are -- and then we will be done. 3 4 4 When -- when you were having conversations Q And did -- the conversations you are 5 5 with people at Kik or were there any conversations about describing about Stellar and Orbs, was that after you 6 when Kik intended to sell the tokens or, you know, what 6 had obtained Kin tokens? 7 would trigger their ability to sell tokens when they 7 A I think so. Yeah, I think that was 8 8 would do it? afterwards. That was somewhat more recent. 9 A When they would do this sale that we 9 Q Have you spoken to any other people who 10 participated in or when they would -- I'm not sure I 10 invested in the Kin offering? Sorry. Obviously you 11 11 talked to Mr. Morehead. 12 Q Okay. 12 A Yeah, other than them, I don't think so. 13 That's okay. When you bought, you 13 Q And have you talked to Mr. Morehead about the 14 purchased using that SAFT contract; is that right? 14 investment or his people? 15 15 A Um-hmm, yeah. A Not specifically. 16 O Okav. 16 I don't remember having 17 And as part of that, you received the 17 any type of in-depth conversation with them, other than 18 right to obtain Kin tokens at the time that Kik sold 18 just in passing that it was -- you know, that we had 19 those tokens to the general public. Am I right about 19 gotten them or where it was trading, but nothing --20 that? 20 nothing specific. 21 21 A Yes. Q And have you spoken to anyone at Kik about 2.2 Q Okay. So was there any discussion between 2.2 the SEC investigation? 23 your group and Kik about when that public sale would 23 24 happen? 24 Q Have you spoken to anyone outside Fortress 25 25 A I don't recall discussing that or that being, about the SEC investigation?

Page 62 Page 64 1 A No. 1 O Okav. 2 Q To the best of your knowledge and -- sorry, 2 So the subpoena with your name on it 3 3 don't tell me about anything your lawyer told you, but has been marked as Exhibit 72. 4 4 Do you see that there? other than conversations with your lawyer, do you know 5 whether Kik or Kik's attorneys have reached out to 5 A Yes. 6 Fortress or Pete Briger's group? 6 Okay. That's it. I'm just literally putting 7 7 A I don't know. it on the record so that it's clear on the record how 8 8 Not to my knowledge, no. that number got there. 9 Q Well, we really do appreciate your talking to 9 A Okay. 10 us. 10 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 11 We are really trying to understand this offering, 11 Anything else? 12 MR. MURTHA: Is that a copy of the subpoena and it has been -- we know it's a pain for you to have 12 13 to, you know, go to the office and do all of this stuff, 13 which you are appearing pursuant to today? 14 and we really do appreciate your doing it because this 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 has been helpful, and it lets us sort of really 15 MR MITCHELL: Great. 16 understand what happened. 16 Okay. Now I apologize for the 17 As part of our normal process we ask the 17 false alarm earlier. If the videographer could 18 witness, you know, do you -- is there anything you like 18 take us off the record, we'd appreciate it. 19 19 want to supplement or anything that you said that you THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 2.0 think you need to supplement or correct or anything 20 This marks the end of the DVD number one in 21 while we are still on the record? 21 the deposition of Michael Hourigan. We are going off 22 A No. 22 the record, and the time is 11:14. 23 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 23 (Whereupon, at 11:14 a.m., the examination was 24 And then just for your counsel, do 24 concluded.) 25 either of your counsel have any questions, 2.5 Page 63 Page 63 you know, clarifying questions or anything else you want 1 PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 to ask the witness? 2 3 In the Matter of: KIK INTERACTIVE, INC. 3 MR. COHN: No. I think we are good. Thank 4 Witness: Michael Hourigan 4 you. 5 HO-13388-A File Number: 5 MR MITCHELL: Okay. Date: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 6 So then we would go off 7 Location: San Francisco, California 7 the record, if the videographer could take us off the 8 8 record 9 This is to certify that I, Christine Boyce, (the 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. This marks the 10 undersigned) do hereby swear and affirm that the attached 10 end of the deposition then of Michael --11 proceedings before the U.S. Securities and Exchange MR MITCHELL: Oh, actually wait one sec. 11 12 Commission were held according to the record, and that 12 Sorry. 13 this is the original, complete, true and accurate 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. 14 transcript, which has been compared with the reporting or 14 BY MR. MITCHELL: 15 recording accomplished at the hearing. 15 Q Sorry, I apologize. 16 16 We had -- during the break we had mentioned 17 17 one practical issue. 18 18 Mr. Hourigan, do you have a 19 (Proofreader's Name) (Date) 19 subpoena in front of you, still sitting in front of you? 20 20 21 21 Does it have a label on it with a number? 2.2 2.2 A 72. 23 23 (SEC Exhibit No. 72 was 24 2.4 marked for identification.) 25 25 BY MR. MITCHELL: 26